JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS  I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
DEMATIC CORP.				AMBOY GROUP, LLC			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kent County, MI  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
Mark A. Schravo, Esquire Dilworth Paxson LLP / 457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 700 / Cherry I New Jersey 08002 / (856) 675-1900				Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in ()	ne Box Only)			RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State □		Incorporated or Pri	
☐ 2 U,S, Government Defendant	✓ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			en of Another State		Incorporated and P of Business In A	Another State
				en or Subject of a  preign Country	3 📙 3	Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		(y) RTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice  CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage  roperty Damage  70 Truth in Lending  463 Alien Detaince  463 Alien Detaince  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	TTY	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other  LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appe   423 With 28 U   FROPE   820 Copp   830 Pater   840 Tradic   861 H1A   862 Black   863 DIW   864 SSIC   865 RSI (6   870 Taxe or D   871 IRS	eal 28 USC 158 drawal USC 157  RTY RIGHTS rrights nt emark  SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	Cite the U.S. Civil Star 28 U.S.C. 1332  Brief description of carbon breach of contract	Appellate Court stute under which you ar	Reo	nstated or	er District J tutes unless de		
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	UNDER RULE 2		< 1			IURY DEMAND: ET NUMBER	: 🔀 Yes 🗆 No
DATE 10/19/2016 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AT	rolexity	OF RECORD			

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

DEMATIC CORP.,

CIVIL ACTION NO.

Plaintiff.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

V.

**COMPLAINT** 

AMBOY GROUP LLC,

Defendant.

Plaintiff, Dematic Corp. ("**DEMATIC**"), through its undersigned counsel, Dilworth Paxson LLP, by way of Complaint against Defendant, Amboy Group LLC ("**AMBOY**" or "**DEFENDANT**"), seeks the legal and equitable relief requested below based upon certain contracts, promises, and actions of Amboy, and in support thereof, alleges as follows:

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff, DEMATIC, is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 507 Plymouth Avenue NE, Grand Rapids, Michigan 49505.
- 2. Defendant, AMBOY, is a New Jersey limited liability company with its principal place of business at One Amboy Avenue, Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 in that the claims asserted herein are between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.
- 4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to these claims occurred in this District.

#### **FACTS**

- 5. Dematic is a global engineering company that provides a comprehensive range of intelligent warehouse logistics and materials handling solutions. Dematic designs, builds, and life cycle supports logistics solutions that optimize material and information workflow -- from receiving to shipping, within the four walls of the factory, warehouse, or distribution center.
- 6. Some time prior to December of 2013, Amboy acquired a facility in Woodbridge, New Jersey, with a single aisle Woodson Unit Load Automated Storage and Retrieval System. Thereafter, Amboy solicited proposals, including from Dematic, for a modernization project for its newly acquired warehouse facility.
- 7. On or about December 19, 2013, Dematic entered into a Sales Agreement with Amboy (the "Agreement") through which Dematic agreed to sell and Amboy agreed to purchase from Dematic certain products and services related to the Woodson Automated Storage and Retrieval System Project (the "Project"). A true and correct copy of the Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- 8. Specifically, under the Agreement, Dematic agreed to provide and Amboy agreed to purchase certain "critical" upgrades to the existing infrastructure at the Woodbridge facility. These upgrades included, *inter alia*,: a full storage and retrieval machine controls upgrade utilizing existing mechanical subsystems; the provision of minimal on-ground controls for fork truck and storage and retrieval machine interfaces; and delivery of a minimal featured equipment management systems to support system automation. Dematic further provided a new manager system, conveyor controls, and storage and retrieval machine controls upgrades, amongst other products and services.

- 9. Dematic provided all services and products to Amboy in a timely and satisfactory manner, as required under the Agreement.
- 10. Testing of the equipment and services provided by Dematic to Amboy, and acceptance of the work performed by Dematic, was performed on several levels. First, the Storage and Retrieval Machine ("SRM") upgrade work that Dematic performed was completed and the upgraded SRM was tested and accepted by Amboy. A true and correct copy of the Amboy SRM acceptance is attached hereto as Exhibit "B".
- 11. Second, a system-wide test was performed for the entire integrated system installed by Dematic, which was once again accepted by Amboy. A true and correct copy of the Amboy system-wide acceptance is attached hereto as Exhibit "C".
- 12. Under the Agreement, in exchange for the services and products provided by Dematic, Amboy was required to pay Dematic \$134,309.69 (\$143,711.36 including tax) on July 31, 2014 and \$132,446.67 (\$141,717.94 including tax) on August 30, 2014.
- 13. Amboy failed to pay either invoice and, as a result, owes Dematic \$285,429.30, exclusive of interests, attorney's fees and costs of suit (the "**Indebtedness**"). True and correct copies of the invoices are attached hereto as Exhibit "D".
- 14. On or about April 6, 2015, Dematic sent Amboy a request for payment and proposal for negotiated payment terms. A true and correct copy of the April 6 email from Dematic to Amboy attached hereto as Exhibit "E".
- 15. On or about April 6, 2015, Amboy acknowledged that it owed the Indebtedness and proposed to pay Dematic \$32,475.00 per month for thirty eight (38) consecutive weeks in satisfaction of the Indebtedness. The same day, Dematic accepted Amboy's proposal. See Exhibit "E".

- 16. On or about July 13, 2015, Dematic sent Amboy a letter demanding that Amboy pay the Indebtedness in full on or before July 24, 2015. Amboy failed to do so.
- 17. On or about December 16, 2015, Dematic sent Amboy a second demand for payment of the Indebtedness, together with notice of default under Section 7.1 of the Agreement.

  A true and correct copy of the December 16, 2015 letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "F".
  - 18. Notwithstanding such demands, no payment was received by Dematic.

## COUNT I BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 19. Dematic hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 17 above as though fully set forth herein.
  - 20. The Agreement constitutes a contract between Dematic and Amboy.
- 21. Amboy breached the contract by, among other things, failing to pay the sums due and owing to Dematic as required by the Agreement;
- 22. Dematic satisfied its obligations under the Agreement and has acted in good faith in exercising its rights pursuant to the Agreement.
  - 23. Dematic has been damaged by Amboy's breach of contract.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff, Dematic, respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendant, Amboy, for all damages incurred by Dematic plus costs and attorney's fees, and any further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

## COUNT II BOOK ACCOUNT

24. Dematic hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 22 above as if fully set forth herein.

- 25. Amboy owes Dematic \$285,429.30 on an open book account.
- 26. Dematic has demanded payment of the amount owed by Amboy, but Amboy has not paid this amount, or any part of it, and the whole amount is due and payable from Amboy to Dematic.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff, Dematic, demands judgment against the Amboy for all sums due and owing pursuant to the agreement, together with interest, service charges, charge back fees, costs of suit, and attorneys' fees.

### COUNT III UNJUST ENRICHMENT

- 27. Dematic hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 25 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 28. As a result of Dematic providing services, delivering the equipment and other merchandise to Amboy under the Agreement, Amboy received the benefit of the equipment and services.
- 29. By failing to pay Dematic for the equipment and services, Amboy has been unjustly enriched to the detriment and expense of Dematic.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Dematic, demands judgment against the Amboy for all sums due and owing pursuant to the agreement, together with interest, service charges, charge back fees, costs of suit, and attorneys' fees.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on any issue triable of right by a jury.

Dated: October 19, 2016 Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/Mark A. Schiavo

Mark A. Schiavo, Esquire

DILWORTH PAXSON LLP

LibertyView

457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 700

Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002

mschiavo@dilworthlaw.com

(856) 675-1900

Attorneys for Plaintiff Dematic Corp.